



**South Africa
National
Artificial
Intelligence
Policy
Framework**

August 2024



**communications &
digital technologies**

Department:
Communications & Digital Technologies
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



South Africa National Artificial Intelligence Policy Framework

(Towards the Development of South Africa National Artificial Intelligence Policy)

Send comments to dsondlo@dcdt.gov.za and mmashologu@dcdt.gov.za

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Background	1
3.	Overview of South Africa’s Policy Making Life Cycle	2
4.	Rationale for Developing a National AI Policy for South Africa	3
5.	Problem Statement (The Futures Approach to the Development of National AI Policy)	4
5.1.	Push of the Present	4
5.2.	Pull of the Future.....	6
5.3.	Weight of the Past.....	6
5.4.	Analysis of Interactions	7
5.5.	South Africa AI Policy Objectives	7
6.	Strategic Pillars for the South Africa AI Policy	9
6.1.	Talent Development / Capacity Development	9
6.2.	Digital Infrastructure	9
6.3.	Research, Development, and Innovation	9
6.4.	Public Sector Implementation	9
6.5.	Ethical AI Guidelines Development.....	10
6.6.	Privacy and Data Protection	10
6.7.	Safety and Security	10
6.8.	Transparency and Explainability	10
6.9.	Fairness and Mitigating Bias.....	11
7.	Conclusion	12
8.	References	13





1. Introduction

The National Artificial Intelligence (AI) Policy Framework for South Africa (a first step in developing the National AI Policy) aims to promote the integration of Artificial Intelligence technologies to drive economic growth, enhance societal well-being, and position South Africa as a leader in AI innovation. Since the beginning of this decade, AI has rapidly advanced and has now been recognized as a general-purpose technology, like electricity or the internet, due to its wide-ranging impacts across various sectors and its potential to transform economies and societies.

By embracing AI's transformative potential, this framework seeks to address pressing national challenges and leverage opportunities across all sectors in our economy. The policy framework's primary objective is to strategically foster a robust AI ecosystem through coordinated efforts in research and development, talent cultivation, and infrastructure enhancement. This holistic approach ensures that AI serves as a catalyst for a digital society, digital economy, and digital inclusion, benefiting all South Africans.



2. Background

For South Africa to exploit the full potential of AI, the country need to carefully take into consideration ethical, social, and economic implications, ensuring that AI benefits are broadly shared, and risks are managed effectively. A cornerstone of this framework is the commitment to ethical AI development and use. It integrates comprehensive guidelines to ensure AI systems are transparent, accountable, and designed to promote fairness while mitigating biases. This includes establishing robust data governance frameworks to protect privacy and enhance data security, alongside setting standards for AI transparency and explainability to foster trust among users and stakeholders.

The policy framework emphasizes the importance of human-centred AI, ensuring that AI applications augment human decision-making rather than replace it. By safeguarding professional responsibility and promoting human values, the framework ensures that AI development aligns with societal and ethical considerations.

The framework also addresses the need for capacity building and economic development by promoting AI education and training programs, supporting AI startups, and facilitating public-private partnerships. It also includes measures to enhance cybersecurity and protect AI systems from malicious threats. By prioritizing these areas, the framework aims to create a conducive environment for AI innovation, ensuring that the economic benefits of AI are widely distributed and contribute to the overall prosperity of the nation.

This initial step in the development of South Africa's National AI Policy sets the stage for a future where AI is harnessed responsibly and effectively, driving digital transformation and promoting inclusive growth across the country.



3. Overview of South Africa's Policy Making Life Cycle

The policy-making life cycle in South Africa is a comprehensive process that involves several key stages aimed at addressing societal issues through government intervention. This cycle ensures that policies are well-formulated, adopted, implemented, and evaluated effectively. Among the key cyclical stages of policy making are the Policy Formulation, Policy Adoption, Policy Implementation, as well as Monitoring and Evaluation.

In the Policy Formulation phase, the focus is on identifying the problems that require government intervention and analysing their root causes. The goal is to gain a deep understanding of the issues at hand, ensuring that the solutions proposed are well-informed and effective. To identify the problems that need addressing, it is important to conduct a thorough analysis to understand their underlying causes – with the aim of developing targeted and effective solutions.

This phase heavily relies on various types of evidence to inform policy decisions. These include research-based evidence gathered from scientists and academic research, providing a theoretical and empirical foundation for understanding the problem. Also practice-informed evidence, which is derived from policy practice, offering insights based on real-world experiences and best practices. Citizen evidence is also useful since it is often collected through surveys, consultations, and public engagement. This helps ensure that the voices and perspectives of citizens are considered. Finally, such evidence must be evaluated critically to ensure that it correctly diagnoses the problem, as well as identifies effective options for policy-making.

The Policy Adoption phase involves obtaining approval for the draft policy through various relevant structures and conducting thorough consultations. Here, the draft policy undergoes a rigorous approval process through internal and inter-governmental consultation. This ensures that the policy aligns with broader government objectives and receives the necessary endorsements. Several key bodies are involved in the approval process, including Ministerial Clusters, the Cabinet, the Forum of South African Directors-General (FOSAD), and other relevant authorities. Their approval is crucial for the policy to proceed to the implementation phase.

In the Policy Implementation phase, the focus further shifts to translating the policy into actionable steps. This includes designing detailed programme activities and outlining the steps required to achieve the policy objectives. This is followed by allocating the necessary resources, including funding and personnel to support the implementation efforts. It is also necessary to establish institutional arrangements, frameworks and mechanisms to support the execution of the policy. Finally, a comprehensive implementation plan is put in place, outlining clear responsibilities, timelines, and performance metrics. This plan serves as a roadmap for executing the policy and achieving its goals.

In the Policy Monitoring and Evaluation phase, the policy is put through regular appraisals to evaluate its content, implementation process, and outcomes - thus ensuring that the policy remains relevant and effective. The implementation is further subjected to regular effectiveness assessment, using data and

performance metrics to determine whether the policy is achieving its intended objectives. This includes analysing both quantitative and qualitative data.

Further, the implementation is subjected to a systematic evaluation process to identify areas for improvement and make data-driven decisions – including diagnostic, implementation, and impact evaluations. But the golden thread which ensured the success of policy formulation, implementation and evaluation is the quality of stakeholder involvement at every stage. When the process includes the citizens, policymakers, and practitioners, there is an added layer of transparency and accountability which ensures that feedback is used for refining the policy and enhancing its effectiveness.

4. Rationale for Developing a National AI Policy for South Africa

The development of a National AI Policy in South Africa is a strategic imperative to guide the responsible and ethical development, deployment, and utilization of artificial intelligence across all sectors of society. As AI technologies rapidly advance, they offer unprecedented opportunities for economic growth, improved public services, and enhanced quality of life.

However, without a coherent and comprehensive policy position, these benefits could be overshadowed by potential risks such as job displacement, privacy concerns, and ethical dilemmas. The National AI Policy will provide clear guidelines and a structured approach to harnessing AI's potential while mitigating its risks, ensuring that AI technologies are developed in a manner that aligns with South Africa's socio-economic goals and values.

A key rationale for establishing this policy is to foster sectoral strategies that address specific needs and opportunities within different industries, such as healthcare, education, and finance etc. By laying down an overarching policy positions, the National AI Policy will enable the development of tailored strategies that leverage AI to drive innovation and efficiency in each sector.

This approach ensures that the unique challenges and opportunities of each industry are considered, leading to more effective and targeted implementation of AI technologies. Additionally, sectoral strategies derived from the national policy will facilitate a more coordinated and cohesive effort across various governmental and private entities, promoting collaboration and knowledge sharing.

The National AI Policy will serve as the foundational basis for creating AI regulations and potentially an AI Act in South Africa. As regulators work to establish rules and standards for AI, it is crucial that these regulations are grounded in a well-defined policy direction that reflects the country's vision and priorities for AI.

By providing a clear policy framework, the National AI Policy will guide the development of robust regulatory mechanisms that ensure AI applications are safe, ethical, and aligned with public interest. This will not only protect citizens from potential harms but also build trust in AI technologies, encouraging their adoption and fostering a thriving AI ecosystem in South Africa.

5. Problem Statement (The Futures Approach to the Development of National AI Policy)

The Futures Triangle approach is essential for creating a clear problem statement for the National AI Policy Development as it provides a comprehensive framework that captures the multifaceted nature of the issue. By integrating the “Push of the Present,” “Pull of the Future,” and “Weight of the Past,” this approach allows the policymaking effort to holistically analyze the driving forces, aspirations, and historical constraints shaping the development and implementation of AI in South Africa.




Figure 1: Futures Triangle

5.1. Push of the Present

These are the quantitative factors and patterns shaping the future.

- **Technological Advancement:** AI technologies are advancing rapidly worldwide, necessitating South Africa to adopt these innovations to stay competitive and relevant. The nation must keep pace with global advancements to avoid falling behind in technological capabilities.
- **Economic Necessity:** AI offers significant potential for economic growth by enhancing productivity, creating new industries, and fostering innovation. Embracing AI can drive South Africa’s economic development, create job opportunities, and improve overall economic resilience.
- **Social Demands:** There is an increasing demand for AI-driven solutions in critical sectors in the economy (healthcare, agriculture, education, and public safety, etc). AI can provide innovative solutions to social challenges, improving service delivery and enhancing quality of life.
- **Policy Momentum:** Global trends in AI governance and the need to harmonize with international standards are pushing South Africa to develop its own AI policies. The country needs to align with international norms and standards to ensure ethical and effective AI deployment.



5.2. Pull of the Future

This refers to the captivating visions of the future that are so irresistible that one cannot resist focusing on them. The National Development Plan (NDP) was aimed to envision South Africa's future where poverty, unemployment and equality are overcome.

- **Economic Transformation:** The vision is to use AI to transform South Africa's economy, reduce unemployment, and foster innovation. This involves creating a dynamic and competitive economic environment that can adapt to global changes and leverage AI for sustainable growth.
- **Social Equity:** Ensuring that AI contributes to social equity by addressing disparities and improving access to services is a key goal. AI can help bridge gaps in areas like healthcare, education, and economic opportunities, promoting inclusiveness and reducing inequalities.
- **Sustainable Development:** AI can drive sustainable practices in agriculture, energy management, and urban planning. Utilizing AI for sustainability aligns with global environmental goals and helps South Africa address its environmental challenges as well as Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's).
- **Global Leadership:** South Africa aims to be a leader in AI within the African continent and a significant player on the global stage. This involves not only technological advancements but also influencing global AI ethics and governance frameworks.

5.3. Weight of the Past

This refers to the obstacles and entrenched structures that are impeding our progress and resisting change. South Africa has been unable to deal with its historical challenges.

- **Digital Divide:** The persistent digital divide, characterized by unequal access to technology and education, poses a significant challenge. Bridging this divide is crucial for ensuring equitable AI adoption and benefits.
- **Historical Inequities:** Socio-economic disparities rooted in historical injustices can slow the adoption of AI technologies. Addressing these disparities requires inclusive policies that ensure broad access to AI benefits.
- **Institutional Inertia:** Resistance to change within established institutions and bureaucratic inertia can impede the rapid adoption of new technologies. Overcoming this inertia requires strong leadership and clear policy direction.
- **Regulatory Frameworks:** Existing regulatory and legislative frameworks may not be equipped to handle the rapid pace of technological change. Updating and reforming these frameworks are essential to create a conducive environment for AI development.

5.4. Analysis of Interactions

5.4.1. Push-Pull Dynamics

The strong push from technological and economic imperatives, combined with the aspirational pull of economic transformation and social equity, suggests a powerful momentum towards adopting a comprehensive AI policy. However, balancing immediate technological advancements with long-term social goals will be crucial. The push factors drive the urgent need for policy development, while the pull factors guide the policy towards sustainable and inclusive goals.

5.4.2. Push-Weight Tension

Current technological and economic pressures may conflict with the weight of historical issues such as the digital divide and socio-economic inequalities. Addressing these historical weights requires deliberate policy interventions that ensure inclusive access to AI benefits. Investments in fundamental digital infrastructure are necessary to bridge the digital divide and enable widespread AI adoption.

5.4.3. Pull-Weight Interaction

The aspirational pull towards social equity and sustainable development must contend with historical and institutional barriers. Strategies to overcome these barriers include targeted investments in education, infrastructure, and regulatory reforms to foster an enabling environment for AI. Policy must be designed to ensure that AI initiatives are inclusive and equitable, addressing historical disparities and promoting broad access to AI benefits.

5.5. South Africa AI Policy Objectives

Developing a comprehensive AI policy for South Africa is crucial amidst rapid global advancements in AI technology, offering significant opportunities for economic growth, societal improvement, and positioning the country as a leader in innovation. However, South Africa faces challenges such as historical inequalities, digital divides, and outdated regulatory frameworks that hinder widespread AI adoption.

Overcoming these obstacles requires regulatory reforms and policies to encourage targeted investments in strategic areas initially in education and digital infrastructure to ensure equitable access and to maximize AI's transformative potential. By aligning with global AI governance standards and addressing socio-economic disparities, South Africa can leverage AI to drive economic transformation, foster social equity, and enhance its global competitiveness in AI innovation.





6. Strategic Pillars for the South Africa AI Policy

Strategic pillars of this AI Policy are the fundamental components or key areas of focus that support and drive the implementation of the policy's goals and objectives. They serve as the core framework around which the policy is structured, providing clear guidance and direction for its development.

6.1. Talent Development / Capacity Development

Aim: To ensure that South Africa has a robust AI talent pool.

- o Educational Integration: Incorporate AI into the educational curricula from basic education to tertiary levels.
- o Training Programs: Develop specialized training and continuous learning programs in AI.
- o Industry Collaboration: Foster partnerships between academia and industry for real-world AI application training.

6.2. Digital Infrastructure

Aim: To create an environment conducive to AI innovation.

- o Supercomputing Infrastructure: Develop robust supercomputing infrastructure to support AI research and development.
- o Digital Connectivity: Invest in digital infrastructure and advanced connectivity technologies like 4G, 5G and high-capacity fibre networks.

6.3. Research, Development, and Innovation

Aim: To advance technological capabilities and drive innovation.

- o Research Centres: Establish dedicated AI research centres.
- o Public-Private Partnerships: Promote collaborations between academia, industry, and government.
- o Funding and Incentives: Provide financial support and incentives for AI research and startups.

6.4. Public Sector Implementation

Aim: To enhance government efficiency through AI.

- o AI in Administration: Implement AI to optimize state management and service delivery.
- o Guidelines and Standards: Develop guidelines for ethical and effective AI deployment in government operations.

6.5. Ethical AI Guidelines Development

Aim: To ensure responsible and ethical AI use.

Ethical Development and Deployment: Ensuring that AI systems are designed and implemented with ethical considerations at the forefront, addressing issues which include bias, fairness, transparency, and accountability.

- o Guidelines and Standards: Create guidelines for responsible AI practices, ensuring alignment with human rights principles.
- o Regulatory Compliance and Governance: Adhering to relevant laws, regulations, and policies governing AI development and use.

6.6. Privacy and Data Protection

Aim: To safeguard personal information.

- o Data Governance: Establish standardized data generation and utilization practices across public and private sectors.
- o Data Protection Laws: Strengthen existing data protection regulations.
- o Transparency: Ensure transparency in AI data usage and storage practices.

6.7. Safety and Security

Aim: To protect citizens and infrastructure.

- o Cybersecurity Measures: Implement robust cybersecurity protocols to safeguard AI systems.
- o Risk Management: Develop frameworks to identify and mitigate risks associated with AI.

6.8. Transparency and Explainability

Aim: To build public trust in AI.

- o Explainable AI: Promote the development of AI systems that provide clear, understandable outputs (The ability to understand and interpret how AI systems arrive at their decisions or conclusions)
 - ▶ Trust and Acceptance: Users and stakeholders are more likely to trust and accept AI systems if they can understand how decisions are made.
 - ▶ Accountability: Explainability enables developers and organizations to be accountable for the actions and outcomes of AI systems.
 - ▶ Bias Detection and Mitigation: Understanding how AI algorithms make decisions allows for the detection and mitigation of biases. Biases can unintentionally be amplified in AI systems due to skewed training data or inherent biases in algorithms.

- ▶ Insight and Improvement: Explainable AI provides insights into how models behave in different scenarios, which can lead to improvements in model performance, efficiency, and usability.
- o Transparency: The clear and open operation of AI systems, ensuring that their processes, decision-making criteria, and outcomes are understandable and accessible to users and stakeholders, including insights into model functionality, data usage, decision logic, and potential impacts.
- o Public Awareness Campaigns: Educate the public on AI technologies and their implications.

6.9. Fairness and Mitigating Bias

Aim: To ensure equitable AI deployment.

- o Bias Mitigation: Develop methods to identify and mitigate biases in AI systems.
- o Inclusive Data Sets: Ensure AI systems are trained on diverse data sets representing all demographics.

6.10. Human Control of Technology (Human Centered Approach in AI Systems)

Aim: To maintain human oversight over AI.

- o Human-in-the-Loop Systems: Ensure critical AI decisions involve human oversight (especially in Generative AI).
- o Decision-Making Frameworks: Develop frameworks for AI decision-making that prioritize human judgment.

6.11. Professional Responsibility

Aim: To foster responsible AI development and use.

- o Code of Conduct: Create the code of conduct for AI professionals.
- o Ethics Training: Integrate ethical training into AI education and professional development.

6.12. Promotion of Cultural and Human Values

Aim: To align AI development with societal values.

- o Value-Based AI: Develop AI systems that promote human well-being, equality, and environmental sustainability.
- o Stakeholder Engagement: Involve diverse stakeholders in the AI policy-making process to ensure alignment with societal values.



7. Conclusion

The National AI Policy Framework for South Africa represents a strategic blueprint aimed at harnessing AI technologies to propel the country's economic growth, technological advancement, and societal well-being. Emphasizing ethical development, the framework prioritizes the responsible deployment of AI that aligns with South Africa's values and priorities.

By focusing on ethical guidelines, the framework aims to ensure that AI systems are developed and implemented with considerations for fairness, accountability, transparency, and inclusivity. This approach not only fosters trust among citizens and stakeholders but also mitigates potential risks such as bias and discrimination in AI applications.

In addition to ethical considerations, the framework outlines key pillars such as robust data governance frameworks, infrastructure enhancement, and significant investments in research and innovation. These pillars are crucial for creating an enabling environment where AI technologies can thrive and contribute meaningfully to sectors such as healthcare, education, and public administration.

By promoting human-centric AI solutions, the framework aims to prioritize the needs and well-being of South Africans, ensuring that AI advancements lead to tangible improvements in quality of life and societal progress. Overall, the National AI Policy Framework lays the groundwork for South Africa to emerge as a leader in AI innovation while addressing challenges and opportunities in a holistic and sustainable manner.



8. References

- El Hadi, M. M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Background, Definitions, Challenges and Benefits. https://jstc.journals.ekb.eg/article_297957_18c63823bf45bdd85ffd54bf0dafa8f5.pdf
- Inayatullah, S. (2023). The Futures Triangle: Origins and Iterations. *World Futures Review*, 15(2-4), 112-121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19467567231203162>
- Kostin, K. B. (2018). Foresight of the Global Digital Trends. *Strategic Management*. 23(1), pp11–19. DOI: 10.5937/StraMan1801011K
- Manyika, V. J., Chui, M., Bisson, Merimadi, M., Bughin, J., George, K. Willmott, P., & Dewhurst, M. (2017). *A Future that Works: Automation, Employment, and Productivity*. McKinsey Global Institute. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/digital-disruption/harnessing-automation-for-a-future-that-works/de-DE#/>
- Mohammad, S.M. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Information Technology. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research And Technology [IJERT]*. ISSN: 2394-3696. Vol 7(6). 2020.
- Naidoo, K. (2020). Innovation, Digital Platform Technologies and Employment: An Overview of Key Issues and Emerging Trends In South Africa. *SCIS Working Paper | Number 9*. <https://wiredspace.wits.ac.za/server/api/core/bitstreams/3261074e-310b-4913-ab88-48e8fb213712/content>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2020). Artificial Intelligence: How can we ensure that AI benefits society as a whole? <https://www.oecd.org/digital/artificial-intelligence/>
- Presidential Commission on Fourth Industrial Revolution. (2020). The Diagnostic Report. <https://www.gov.za/documents/report-presidential-commission-4th-industrial-revolution-23-oct-2020-0000>
- Rudin, C. (2019). Stop Explaining Black Box Machine Learning Models for High Stakes Decisions and Use Interpretable Models Instead. *Nat Mach Intell* 1, pp 206–215. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x>
- Russel, S. & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Third Edition. Prentice Hall Series.
- United Nations. (2023). Generative Artificial Intelligence: What it is, What it is not and What it can be for the United Nations. <https://www.un.org/en/un-chronicle/generative-artificial-intelligence-what-it-what-it-not-and-what-it-can-be-united>
- World Bank. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in the Public Sector. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/746721616045333426/pdf/Artificial-Intelligence-in-the-Public-Sector-Summary-Note.pdf>



WRITE YOUR NOTES HERE

WRITE YOUR NOTES HERE

WRITE YOUR NOTES HERE

WRITE YOUR NOTES HERE



communications &
digital technologies

Department:
Communications & Digital Technologies
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Department of Communications and
Digital Technologies

Private Bag X 860 | Pretoria | 0001

iParioli Office Park | 1166 Jan Shoba
Street | Hatfield | Pretoria

Tel: +27.12.427.8000

www.dcdt.gov.za

Comments: dsondlo@dcdt.gov.za & mmashologu@dcdt.gov.za